



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/811,848	03/19/2001	Doron Elgressy	655/64514	1687
7590 02/03/2004			EXAMINER	
RICHARD F.	JAWORSKI	FIELDS, COURTNEY D		
Cooper & Dunh		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1185 Avenue of New York, NY		2137		
rion roix, ivi	10030		DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	, /٥

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				PRL			
		Apı	olication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/	/811,848	ELGRESSY ET	AL.			
		Exa	aminer	Art Unit				
			urtney D. Fields	2137				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	inication appears	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	address			
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provisio SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nmunication. (30) days, a reply within statutory period will apploty will, by statute, cause	In no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of thi by and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered tim NTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on			•			
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.					
3)□	,—							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the	ne application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is	are withdrawn fro	om consideration.					
5) <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18-33</u> is/are reje	ected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		-4: :					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to rest	nction and/or elec	mon requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by			– .				
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar							
	Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			• •	CED 1 121/d\			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	-	·	*	• •			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	to by the Entermit		,				
	Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of		nty under 00 0.0.0.	3 110(a) (a) or (i).				
	1. Certified copies of the priorit			Amplication No				
	2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copie				al Stage			
	application from the Internat	ional Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).					
	See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim				al application)			
	ince a specific reference was included							
	7 CFR 1.78.							
) \square The translation of the foreign \mathbb{R} Acknowledgment is made of a claim		• •		e a specific			
	eference was included in the first se							
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (P	ГО-152)			
-/ -/ 1111011	(1 10-1440)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. المالات	•				

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 10-16, 18-20and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Touboul, U.S. Patent No. 6,167,520. Referring to claims, 1,2,13-16, Touboul discloses a system and method for protecting a client during runtime from hostile downloadables (i.e. Java or Active X applets). Touboul defines a downloadable as being a small executable which is downloaded from a source computer and run on a destination computer. The network system comprises a server coupled to the Internet or Intranet, which is coupled to an individual computer including a security system for protecting the client from hostile or suspicious downloadable activity. The security system may be stored in a data storage device and loaded into RAM for execution. Within the security system, operating system probes recognizes applet instructions, therefore, a message is indicated to inform the event router. Upon receipt of a message, the event router forwards the message for notifying the user of the request, to an event log which records and monitors suspicious operations. Suspicious operations are denied if the runtime monitor detect violation of an applet using more than two

Art Unit: 2137

megabytes of RAM or when the Java virtual machine attempt to run more than five applets concurrently. The applet will be terminated and the memory or processor time available to the applet will become limited. (See Column 2, lines 53-67, Column 3, lines 12-54, Column 4, lines 10-20, 24-50)

Referring to claim 3, Touboul discloses an response engine that determines a security policy to dictate over the execution of downloadables if an applet violates the security policy, the information is sent to a suspicious downloadables database in Column 4, lines 51-60)

Referring to claim 4, Touboul discloses the claimed limitation wherein the downloadables are harmless in Column 6, lines 15-33, 41-44.

Referring to claims 5,18-20, Touboul discloses the claimed limitation wherein the Internet behavior disables the network connection in Column 5, lines 53-55, 63-67, Column 6, lines 1-9.

Referring to claims 10-12, 31-33, Touboul discloses the claimed limitation wherein access to a secure resource is denied in Column 5, lines 31-67, Column 6, lines 1-23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2137

4. Claims 6-9 and 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Touboul et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,167,520 in view of Ji et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,623,600. Referring to claims 7, 24-26, Touboul et al. teaches the invention as claimed. However, Touboul et al. does not explicitly disclose a communication protocol such as HTTP, FTP, SMTP, or the like. Referring to claims 6, 21-23, Ji et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the Internet behavior is disabled by specific protocols such as FTP or SMTP. (See Column 8, lines 25-34)

Referring to claims 7, 24-26, Ji et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the specific protocols comprising FTP and SMTP can be used for detecting viruses in file transfers and messages being downloaded and sent into or out of a network. (See Abstract and Column 5, lines 28-38)

Referring to claims 8, 27-29, Ji et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the Internet behavior disables the transfer of executable objects in communication protocols. (See Column 7, lines 4-67, Column 8, lines 1-16)

Referring to claims 9 and 30, Ji et al. discloses the claimed limitation wherein the access to trusted sites via FTP is granted. (See Column 8. lines 43-65)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Touboul's system and method by combining Ji et al.'s virus detection of downloadables on FTP and SMTP servers. This modification would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prevent hostile applets from being downloaded and exposed upon the network to secure resources.

Art Unit: 2137

Conclusion

Page 5

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Michael Shoffner and Merlin Hughes "Java and Web-Executable Object Security", November 1996: Java and Network Security, pp.1-7, discloses a method and system for Java and Web-Executable Object Security that prevent standalone applications and web-embedded objects (applets) from exhibiting undesirable and/or unauthorized low-level system behavior.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Courtney D. Fields whose telephone number is 703-305-8293. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu 7:00 - 5:00 pm; off every Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

df

January 28, 2004

BREGORY MORSE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100